**Third HDR Annual Progress Review**

Advisor’s/Reader’s report

Name of Candidate: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Author of Report: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date of Report: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Please provide a brief answer to each of the following questions** (text box will expand as you type)**:**

1. Are the scope, originality and quality of the thesis sufficient to the degree sought (PhD/MPhil)? If not, how could this be improved?
2. Does the structure of the thesis demonstrate sufficient clarity and a logical progression? If not, how could this be improved?
3. Is the quality of the academic writing appropriate to the degree sought?
4. [FOR PRINCIPAL ADVISOR] Have you run an iThenticate report on the documents and, if concerning, discussed this with the candidate? Is the work generally compliant with the standards of academic integrity?
5. Are the title and draft abstract successful in describing the content of the thesis to [potential thesis assessors](http://www.uq.edu.au/grad-school/nomination-of-assessors)?
6. Are there any major concerns with respect to the thesis that need attention before submission?
7. Is the timeline realistic and useful with respect to targeting submission by the due date? If not, what is a more realistic submission date for the thesis?
8. What mix of disciplinary knowledge will be required among the thesis assessors to review the breadth of work contained within the thesis?
9. Do you have any reservations or concerns about having any particular individual act as an assessor?
10. Are any further resources required to support completion?
11. Are there any other issues or difficulties that need to be addressed to enable the candidate to submit a high quality thesis on time?
12. Are there any questions you would like the candidate to consider ahead of the review meeting?